

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/726,668		YAMADA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Thai-Ba Trieu		3748	

All Participants:

(1) Thai-Ba Trieu.

(2) Mr. Vincent J. Sunderdick (Reg. No. 29,004).

Date of Interview: 14 April 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1 and Substitute Specification.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: After an Ex Parte Quayle Action mailed on 02/03/2005.

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 6:00 P.M.

 04114105

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)